



HEALTH WARNINGS

Information that may save a life!

Part 2

Contents Cont.

SECTION 5 – VACCINATION AND EXPERIMENTATION

“Humans Used as Guinea Pigs by US Government and Military”	2
Vaccination.....	3
“The Vaccine against Pregnancy”	3
“Vaccine Studies Under the Influence of Big Pharma”	5
“Is the Media Corrupt, Blind or Stupid?”	6

SECTION 6 - WORLDWIDE GOVERNMENT POLICIES IMPACTING ON OUR FREEDOM OF CHOICE

Worldwide Government Policies Impacting on Our Freedom of Choice.....	9
“Monsanto's Many Attempts to Destroy All Seeds but Their Own”	11
“ ‘The World According to Monsanto’ - Exposing One of the Most Evil Corporations on Earth”	12
“Combatting the Codex Alimentarius”	13
“Agenda 21”	13
“Big Brother Health Care Provisions Slipped Into Economic Stimulus Bill”	16
“Food Modernization Act”	17
“We Become Silent”	19

SECTION 7 - CONCLUSION

Conclusion	21
------------------	----

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - History of Experimentation on Human Guinea Pigs.....	23
APPENDIX B – Combatting the Codex Alimentarius.....	27
APPENDIX C – Excerpts from: Agenda 21 & The United Nations	33



If you would like to make contact you can find me at:

Healthymoneyvine@gmail.com

<http://www.freeglobalbiz.com/marilynvine>

<http://www.healthymoneyvine.com>

SECTION 5
Vaccination and Experimentation



The following article is interesting reading. It makes me wonder how many more stories like this one are out there just buried away that the average person is not aware of and how many of these stories have been impacting on the lives of the people of the world. When information like this comes my way it makes me realise even more the importance of getting the information out to other people. Since I have been getting information “out there” via my Web site, <http://www.healthymoneyvine.com>, I have found that people are beginning to contact me and pass information on that they think I would like to be aware of. I am grateful to these people for their information and their support.

“Humans Used as Guinea Pigs by US Government and Military”

Dear friends,

Below is a comprehensive list of the use of humans as guinea pigs in biological experiments. Unfortunately, there are no links to confirm the information presented. However, having researched this subject quite thoroughly, I can tell you that I have read about almost all of these experiments in reliable books with footnotes providing information on how to verify these facts. The three books mentioned in the mind control section of our resources list at www.WantToKnow.info/resources#mindcontrol contain most of this information, which you can verify using the relevant footnotes.

Many in the government and military sadly feel no compulsion to inform us of when they use us in experiments.

Note that a number of these experiments specifically targeted minorities and those in poor areas. By exposing this information, we can help to build the critical mass necessary to put a stop to all of this. Please help to spread the word.

With very best wishes,

Fred Burks for the [WantToKnow.info](http://www.WantToKnow.info) team

History of Experimentation on Human Guinea Pigs

1931 - Dr. Cornelius Rhoads, under the auspices of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Investigations, infects human subjects with cancer cells. He later goes on to establish the U.S. Army Biological Warfare facilities in Maryland, Utah, and Panama, and is named to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. While there, he begins a series of radiation exposure experiments on American soldiers and civilian hospital patients.



To read this entire, article please go to Appendix A.

Vaccination



What a contentious issue vaccination is! I really don't think there is a "middle of the road" answer to this. Either you are either totally for or totally opposed to vaccination. I have been reading and learning about vaccination and fluoridation since the late 1990s and the more I read, I guess you could say, the more opposed to both of them I become.

Today we have Gardasil, a vaccination for young girls to prevent cervical cancer by helping to prevent the Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) from affecting them. Currently there is talk about vaccinating young boys as well. It is funny, but I didn't really think that boys had cervixes – so why are we vaccinating boys? There is so much information out there with regard to the aftereffects of this vaccination. When you start to read Dr Mercola's articles on this subject, you begin to understand that there are many, many forms of HPV and that the vaccine is only aimed at a very small percentage, and the one it is aimed at isn't the most prevalent. Then you start to read information like Dr Greg Damato in his article "Combatting the Codex Alimentarius" [shown as Appendix B of this book] and little things all start to fall into place. Dr Damato advises us that:

"Codex is similar to other population control measures undertaken clandestinely by governments of the western world; for example, the introduction of DNA-damaging and latent immunosuppressive agents in vaccines (e.g., weaponised avian flu and AIDS), aspartame, chemtrails, chemotherapy for cancer treatment and RU486 (the abortion pill funded by the Rockefeller dynasty).

"FAO and WHO have estimated that by the introduction of just the vitamin and mineral guideline alone, within 10 years a minimum three billion deaths will result."¹

Phillip Day, of Campaign for Truth in Medicine, in his book *Health Wars*, tells us the story below, and I wonder what your reaction will be when you read it?

"The Vaccine against Pregnancy"

On 21 January, 1995, Sister Mary Pilar Verzosa RGS, of Pro-Life Philippines, Pandacan, Manila, wrote a letter to Judi Brown, President of the American Life League. The letter contained an alarm, which has since been sounded across the globe. Part of this letter reads as follows:

'At present we are doing research on the tetanus vaccines that were given last March 1994 by our Dept of Health to women of reproductive age. Many of the women complained of bleeding (miscarriages) and allergies. We got alarmed recently when we received communications from Magally Llaguno that the vaccine in Mexico contained hCG... if you have enough [research] papers, could your group do a press release via international press like Reuters so that all countries could be alerted?' (302)

The substance in question found in the vaccine was human Chorionic Gonadotrophin. Professional pharmacologist Judith Richter writes thus:

'hCG is released by the fertilised egg cell soon after fertilisation and continues to be produced by the placenta. It stimulates the corpus luteum in the ovary to continue to produce progesterone.' (302)

From this, most would be led to believe that the presence of hCG in a tetanus vaccine would actually have a beneficial effect on the overall health of the female recipient. However, such hCG is not that of a pregnant woman's own embryo and actually causes antibodies to be created that see the embryo or foetus as a foreign body and repel it through miscarriage. Thus hCG acts as a chemical abortion agent, and in the case of it being given to girls of reproductive age who are not pregnant, hCG likewise causes prevention of all fertilisations and therefore acts as a sterilising agent. Additionally, according to medical researchers Dr Judith Richter, Dr

¹ Personal communication with Dr Rima Laibow from Jim Turner, JD, partner at Swankin & Turner, Washing, DC, quoted in: Laibow, "Nutraceuticide" and Codex Alimentarius", op.cit, p223

George Isajuw and Robert Whelan (303), hCG suppresses the immune system and in certain cases may cause the onset of opportunistic diseases if malnutrition and mineral depletion are also in evidence.

Sister Pilar wrote a second letter three months later on 16 April 1995:

'We are in the midst of a court battle regarding the anti-tetanus vaccines used in the mass immunization of women of reproductive age. (304) The vials we tested proved positive for beta-hCG, while the women had antibodies against beta-hCG. This means their blood had built up antibodies against pregnancy. The women we tested had miscarriages, stillbirth or premature births. The government has all its forces against us –media is on their side and we don't know how the judge will turn ...'

John Hopkins University (JHU), long a major player in the field of eugenics (305) produced a report that as of 1990, 123 million women in developing countries had been surgically sterilised. Further, JHU was also under contract to the US Agency for International Development, according to the article "*Coming Attractions: Phantom Sterilizations*" (306) which also cites a report prepared by the University on a proposed sterilisation program by vaccine. (307)

That these programs are also underway in our own industrial societies is all the more poignant and alarming when the human face of suffering is imprinted over the antiseptic starkness of the facts.

- 302 **Richter, Judith** "*Vaccination Against Pregnancy: Miracle or Menace?*" Catholic Family News, June 1995. In an earlier chapter we covered the role of the corpus luteum in becoming the primary production site for progesterone during the second half of the menstrual cycle.
- 303 **Whelan, Robert K** "*Whose Choice: Population Controllers or Yours*", Human Life 1992 ISBN 0946680426. Robert Whelan's book cites India which in 1952 became the proving ground for every technique for population control." Referring to a 1992 British documentary, *Something Like War*, Whelan comments on the inexperience of many doctors hired to do sterilisations, who botched them, producing 4,000-5,000 ectopic pregnancies, many of which in rural areas resulted in the patient's death.
- 304 Interesting that this mass immunisation program was only for women of reproductive age.
- 305 Eugenics is the study of how to improve a race by judicious mating and/or destroying the undesirable genetic stock of the subject race. This racist philosophy was to reach a tragic climax with SS leader Heinrich Himmler's sanctioned eugenics program under Dr Jose Mengele in Auschwitz concentration camp during World War 2. Eugenic philosophies were not the sole prerogative of the Nazis however. Many British and American politicians, steeped in Darwinian evolution espousing "survival of the fittest" sentiments, were active during the late 19th and early 20th centuries in supporting the idea of racially purifying human stock and doing away with the "useless eaters".
- 306 *Coming Attractions: Phantom Sterilizations*, Baobab Press, Vol 3, #23, 1993
- 307 For a fuller treatment of Third World population control policies applied by Western governments, please see "*World without AIDS*", available through Credence Publications.

Source: Phillip Day "*Health Wars*" Copyright 2001, Credence Publications, P O Box 3, Tonbridge, Kent TN129ZY, England – www.phillipday.com ISBN 0-9535012-7-2



If you would like to go to <http://www.healthymoneyvine.com/credence-publications.html>, you will find more information about Phillip Day and his Campaign for Truth in Medicine. Down the bottom of the page you can access his bookstore and see how many publications he has written.

To understand some of the background for vaccination scientific studies and approval, I believe that the following article from Dr Mercola is a must-read:



“Vaccine Studies Under the Influence of Big Pharma”

“What Can You Learn From This?”

When evaluating health news, it is wise to be cautious even if it's published in a scientific journal. You must come to the realization that YOU are responsible for your, and your family's, health; not me, not your doctor, and certainly not drug companies that try to convince you that your child not only needs every single vaccine mandated -- and that every single one is safe -- in order to sell their wares.

“Remember, medicine is a business. And so are the journals publishing the science used as the basis for medicine and as such they are highly susceptible to major conflicts of interests because of the very large sums of money involved here.”

<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2009/03/05/Vaccine-Studies-Under-the-Influence-of-Pharma.aspx>



Currently I have one page on Vaccination which covers a raft of information:

<http://www.healthymoneyvine.com/vaccine-side-effects.html>

and in the near future I hope to have a second page with more information. Please take the time and read some of the articles. On this new page I will be featuring a YouTube entitled “Shocking True Story”:

<http://au.youtube.com/watch?v=npWPwLcUyw0>

This is the story of a healthy, young, 20-year-old man who just wanted to be a Marine! Listen to the YouTube and hear his story. To read more about this article, please click on the link below:



<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2008/08/21/are-secret-vaccinations-killing-soldiers.aspx?source=nl>



Here we go again with another story. When you get to Appendix B – Combatting the Codex Alimentarius - and read it in its entirety, then the article that follows does raise questions in your mind!

“Is the Media Corrupt, Blind or Stupid?”

Living Wisdom Newsletter (author unknown)

Bird flu. For years now, we've been 'promised' a disastrous pandemic of the dreaded Avian Influenza - otherwise known as bird flu - which would kill hundreds of thousands and leave millions grievously ill. The medical community has been in a lather about this disease, spreading fear and loathing throughout the community. Big Pharma has been loving every second of it, counting their government grants to develop new vaccines against this illness which, on the face of it at least, has been an absolute non-event.

We've been told time and time again that once this virus gets into the human population, there won't be any stopping it and that it is only a matter of time before it jumps species from birds into humans.

One of the pharmaceutical companies reaping the benefits of these lucrative grants to create a bird flu vaccine, Baxter International, seems to have given this process a bit of a push in their enthusiasm to see this prediction come true. Whoops!

Somehow, they managed to put live Avian influenza virus into batches of normal flu vaccine which were sold to and administered in several European nations. Imagine their embarrassment. (See [Baxter sent Bird Flu to European Labs in Error](#)) Never mind that it is virtually impossible that this could have happened by accident! ([click here](#) to read more) Never mind that the people responsible should be in jail right now on charges of attempted manslaughter or pharmaceutical terrorism (if there isn't such a classification now, there certainly should be one in the near future). None of this matters if nobody ever finds out.

And that's exactly what is happening. The governments involved are sweeping the whole event under the rug and as far as the media is concerned, it never happened at all.

A press release was sent out from this office last week on this very issue including links to the reports in [Bloomberg News](#) (not exactly an alternative media source!), [Baxter International](#) and [Merck](#). Not one phone call was received from any media outlet. Not one skerrick of interest was shown. No doubt when the epidemic starts, there will be plenty of media then - all saying that we need to go out and get our bird flu vaccines!

The powers that be seem to love reporting on outbreaks when they can foist the blame for them on the unvaccinated. But when a drug company that has a contract worth billions of dollars plants a virus into a vaccine which is almost sure to kill people and spread the very virus they are supposed to be trying to prevent, thereby drumming up demand for that product, the walls come down and the blinkers are put on.

Well, it's time to get active and I am calling on all of you to put pen to paper (or fingers to keys) and write to your local radio station, newspaper or TV news program. You don't even need to use your own words if you don't want to. Just send them a copy of our media release (copy and paste the text below) and ask them when they are going to wake up and report on real news instead of acting like the PR office of Drug Company XYZ?

Please do this simple thing now before you forget - we need an upsurge in mails and emails to demonstrate once and for all that there are two sides to this issue and each side needs to be heard fairly.

Could this be the start of the Bird Flu epidemic?

It could be the plot line for a Hollywood thriller - shades of I Am Legend. But recently-released information

showing that the influenza vaccine produced by Baxter International Inc was 'unintentionally' contaminated with live H5N1 avian influenza virus has shocked the medical world and caused panic in the European nations where the vaccine was distributed and administered.

Interestingly, Baxter has been awarded contracts by several nations around the world to develop an Avian influenza vaccine. This 'error' on their part could be the means by which an epidemic of this fatal form of influenza begins in the community.

This is just one more piece of evidence to show that vaccine manufacturers do not maintain strict controls over their production processes or facilities.

On May 1, 2008, the FDA (Food and Drug Administration) cited Merck & Co. for contamination at their plant which produces the Gardasil vaccine - a vaccine which is credited with causing 17 serious reactions a week in Australian girls who receive it.

The letter which the FDA wrote to Merck in regards to their plant inspection, describes "significant deviations from current good manufacturing practice (CGMP)" and described a failure to "...assure that drug products conform to appropriate standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity."

In addition to the Gardasil vaccine, this plant produces Liquid PedvaxHIB®, RECOMBIVAX HB®, ProQuad®, Gardasil®, VAQTA®, and COMVAX®. Merck is also the manufacturer of the MMR (Measles, Mumps and Rubella) vaccination which we currently use in Australia.

"The government, medical community, and the pharmaceutical companies all have a duty of care to ensure that the vaccines we are administering to our children are as safe and pure as they possibly can be. It is obvious that this duty of care is not being taken seriously. The AVN demands more transparency regarding the vaccine production process and inclusion of representatives of our organisation on the government committees that oversee these processes." says Meryl Dorey, President of the Australian Vaccination Network.

For further information, call the AVN on 02 6687 1699, 0414 872 032 or visit their web site - www.avn.org.au

Source: *Living Wisdom Newsletter*, March 2009

SECTION 6

Worldwide Government Policies Impacting on Our Freedom of Choice

Worldwide Government Policies Impacting on Our Freedom of Choice



Some of you may find the contents of this e-book disturbing. To know that global policymakers pursue a hidden agenda of depopulation informs us not to relinquish control of our nutritional choices to any governmental entity. To suspect that our trusted leaders support a Culture of Death knowingly or unwittingly is disturbing. Ideas do have consequences. We need information and vigilance to withstand special interests motivated by greed rather than nobler ideals of the general well-being. I hate to sound alarmist, but only a fool would not be alarmed when confronted with such evidence. Please, can I ask you to keep an open mind, read the article and do your own research and see what you can find on the subject?

Around 1998 I came upon an article about Codex Alimentarius. I would like to give you a brief history of Codex as outlined by Greg Damato PhD in an article in *Nexus Magazine* in September 2008.

“Brief History of Codex

“The history of Codex began in 1893 when the Austro-Hungarian Empire decided it needed a specific set of guidelines by which the courts could rule on cases dealing with food.² This set of regulatory mandates became known as Codex Alimentarius. It was effectively implemented until the fall of the empire in 1918.

“At a meeting in 1962, the United Nations (UN) decided that Codex should be re-implemented worldwide in order to “protect” the health of consumers. Two-thirds of funding for Codex emanates from the Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), and the other third comes from the World Health Organization (WHO).

“In 2002, FAO and WHO had serious concerns about the direction of Codex and hired an external consultant to determine its performance since 1962 and to designate which direction to take the trade organisation.³ The consultant concluded that Codex should be scrapped immediately. It was at this time that big industry stepped in and exerted its powerful influence. The updated outcome was a toned-down report asking Codex to address 20 concerns within the organisation.

“Since 2002, the Codex Alimentarius Commission has covertly surrendered its role as an international public health and consumer protection organisation. Under the helm of big industry, the surreptitious purpose of the new Codex is to increase profits for the global corporate juggernauts while controlling the world through food.”

(Greg Damato’s complete article is contained as Appendix B.)

While I read this article, I could not believe what I was reading. The European Union in Brussels, Belgium, had given full authority to Codex Alimentarius to regulate the food and supplement industry in the name of “food safety”. It seems benign, but what makes Codex Alimentarius alarming is their underlying attempt to control the whole of the world’s population in relation to what vitamins and minerals individuals could take (read the article to find the reason why). What! Someone halfway around the world was going to control me, was going to tell me what I could and could not do?! Perhaps this seems an extreme reaction to you, as we are all governed by rules and regulations in whatever country we live, but to me it is going too far to say what I can and cannot eat in an endeavour to maintain my own health. I found this information unbelievable! What was even more unbelievable was that the World Health Organisation and the World Trade Organisation were also involved. Additionally, the World Trade Organisation could threaten to place sanctions on countries that did not abide by the rulings.

When I first read the article, I thought it was something new, but I now believe that it really started to come into force in the ‘60s, although some places I have read say that it goes back to the beginning of the century and, as Greg has advised in his background of Codex, it actually goes back to 1893.

² Laibow, R.E. *Nutricide: Criminalizing Natural Health, Vitamins, and Herbs (video)*, Natural Solutions Foundation, 2005, at <http://www.HealthFreedomUSA.org>

³ Laibow, Rima E., MD, “Nutraceuticide” and Codex Alimentarius: The Death of Nutritional Medicine”, *Alternative & Complementary Therapies* 2005 Oct 1; 11(5):223-229

If you would like to read more information on how Codex Alimentarius came into being, go to:

http://www4.dr-rath-foundation.org/PHARMACEUTICAL_BUSINESS/history_of_the_pharmaceutical_industry.htm

Additionally, since this time I have received information on something called Agenda 21. I guess I was first alerted to Agenda 21 through YouTube and realised that it was connected closely with Codex Alimentarius in its present form. Finding written information other than the You Tube has been a little bit more difficult. However, I did find "Excerpts from Agenda 21 & The United Nations" and I have shown this as Appendix C. This explains the following:

"Agenda 21 is a 300-page, 40-chapter, 'soft-law' policy document adopted by the delegates to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. The document is not legally binding; it is a set of policy recommendations designed to reorganise global society around the principles of environmental protection, social equity, and what is called 'sustainable' economic development. At the heart of the concept of sustainable development is the assumption that government must manage society to ensure that human activity conforms to these principles."

I found this information at:

<http://www.crossroad.to/Quotes/globaslism/agenda-21.htm>.

Other websites that contained information were copyrighted, including United Nations and Wikipedia:

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Agenda_21

<http://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/documents/agenda21/index.htm>

This YouTube video covers fluoride, aspartame and Agenda 21. Perhaps you would like to take a look at what it has to tell you. It also helps you to understand how they plan to bring the world's population from **6 billion to "500 sustainable million"**:

http://au.youtube.com/watch?v=Sd_Mg0xCvcM

I also found a second YouTube video:

Agenda 21 Depopulation – 2009 – Part 1

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DCUe61hmgsA>

“Monsanto’s Many Attempts to Destroy All Seeds but Their Own”

Some say that if farmers don’t want problems from Monsanto, they simply shouldn’t buy Monsanto’s GMO seeds. But it isn’t quite that simple. Monsanto contaminates the fields, trespasses onto the land taking samples, and then sues, saying they own the crop.

Meanwhile, Monsanto is taking many other steps to keep farmers and everyone else from having any access at all to buying, collecting, and saving of normal seeds:

1. They’ve bought up the seed companies across the Midwest.
2. They’ve written Monsanto seed laws—and gotten legislators to put them through—that make cleaning, collecting and storing of seeds so onerous in terms of fees and paperwork that having normal seed becomes almost impossible.
3. Monsanto is pushing laws that ensure farmers and citizens can’t block the planting of GMO crops, even if they can contaminate other crops.
4. There are Monsanto regulations buried in the FDA rules that make a farmer’s seed cleaning equipment illegal because it’s now considered a “source of seed contamination.”

Monsanto has sued more than 1,500 farmers whose fields had simply been contaminated by GM crops.

Sources: >> [Surviving the Middle Class Crash February 5, 2009](#)



Dr Mercola has been warning about genetically modified foods (GMO) for a long time. I believe it is important for all people to read Dr Mercola’s comments with regard to this article. Many of you will not be aware of what is happening in the agriculture industry—particularly in America—with regard to GMO crops and farmers who do not wish to have GMO crops but who are being sued because the “wind” has placed seeds on their land without their permission and had the audacity to grow there!



Please click on the following link:

<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2009/03/07/Monsantos-Many-Attempts-to-Destroy-All-Seeds-but-Their-Own.aspx>



Here is further information on Monsanto, where Dr Mercola refers to the company as “The Most Evil Company on the Planet”. This article is mentioned in the prior article as well.



To see a YouTube “The Most Evil Company on the Planet – Monsanto”, click on:

<http://curezone.com/forums/fm.asp?i=1211170>

Read the article below, which covers the above YouTube video.

“The World According to Monsanto - Exposing One of the Most Evil Corporations on Earth”

“In an interview with The Real News Network, filmmaker Marie-Monique Robin discussed her recent film ‘The World According to Monsanto.’ The documentary exposes Monsanto’s controversial practices, which range from concealing knowledge of toxicity of PCBs to producing genetically modified seeds and related herbicides. A Monsanto declassified file stated that ‘we can’t afford to lose one dollar’ to worries about PCB toxicity. This, Robin says, sums up Monsanto’s philosophy rather well.”

Sources: [Organic Consumers Association June 23, 2008](#)

Organic Consumers Association - 6771 South Silver Hill Drive, Finland MN 55603

E-mail: [Staff](#) · Activist or Media Inquiries: 218-226-4164 · Fax: 218-353-7652

Please support our work. [Send a tax-deductible donation to the OCA](#)

Fair Use Notice: The material on this site is provided for educational and informational purposes. It may contain copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. It is being made available in an effort to advance the understanding of scientific, environmental, economic, social justice and human rights issues etc. It is believed that this constitutes a ‘fair use’ of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have an interest in using the included information for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material from this site for purposes of your own that go beyond ‘fair use’, you must obtain permission from the copyright owner. The information on this site does not constitute legal or technical advice.



Please read Dr Mercola’s comments as he gives a lot of background information into Monsanto and also shows the link with the pharmaceutical industry. He tells us about the merger of Pharmacia & Upjohn with Monsanto Company and its G.D. Searle Unit.

When reading Codex Alimentarius and then information on Agenda 21 and now reading articles like this, you do have to wonder whether it isn’t all part and parcel of the same issue. I would like to think it isn’t, but my common sense tells me that I am praying for too much of a miracle. Already there seems to be a very strong stranglehold on obtaining GMO-free seeds and farmers’ ability to be able to farm in the way that they would wish, not the big companies’ requirements.



<http://articles.mercola.com/sites/articles/archive/2008/07/08/tthe-most-evil-company-on-the-planet-monsanto.aspx?source=nl>



“Combatting the Codex Alimentarius”

Here is an excerpt from “Appendix B – Combatting the Codex Alimentarius”. To read the full implications and article, please read Appendix B.

“Some government-run agencies, like the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) in Australia, are informing the public that the vitamin and mineral guideline of Codex will not affect their country.

“For example, the TGA had this to say:

‘The proposed Codex Guidelines for Vitamin and Mineral Food Supplements will not apply in Australia and will have no impact on the way these types of products are regulated in Australia.’ (4)

“The bottom line is that no one knows what types of laws will be passed before Codex harmonisation occurs, and no country is safe from these international guidelines, regardless of what government agencies are saying in order to quell pre-emptively any potential public uprising. Many alternative health activists believe this may be a method to confuse and obfuscate the Codex issue until it is too late. Some Codex standards which are proposed to take effect in the near future, and which will be completely irrevocable once initiated, include....”



“Agenda 21”

One of the ways of implementing Agenda 21 is the removal of vitamins and minerals from food, etc. I find it interesting that the removal of vitamins and minerals has already been happening through the commercialisation of the food industry. How do the majority of people in the Western world eat today? They mostly consume pre-packaged foods laden with preservatives and partially hydrogenated oils. Also consider this: Where has the food come from? Commercial farming that uses the same soil year after year, which is most likely depleted of the trace minerals that our bodies need.

Senate Document No 264, 1936 - 74th Congress, 2nd Session advised that *“99% of the American people are deficient in these minerals [and this was in 1936!], and that a marked deficiency in any one of the more important minerals actually results in disease. Any upset of the balance, any considerable lack of one or another element, however, microscopic the body requirement may be, and we sicken, suffer, shorten our lives.*

“It is known that vitamins are complex chemical substances which are indispensable to nutrition, and that each of them is of importance for normal function of some special structure in the body. Disorder and disease result from any vitamin deficiency.

“What is not commonly realised, however, is that vitamins control the body's appropriation of minerals, and in the absence of minerals they have no function to perform. Lacking vitamins, the system can make some use of minerals, but lacking minerals, vitamins are useless. Certainly our physical well-being is more directly dependent upon the minerals we take into our systems than upon calories of vitamins or upon the precise proportions of starch, protein or carbohydrates we consume.”

The lack of minerals in the soil is one thing, but what about the bioengineering that is used to help get that tomato to the supermarket, unbruised and looking pretty? These are all things for you to consider.

Additionally, I understand that the United Kingdom is planning to build a greenhouse based on hydroponics.

This building will have the year-round ability to supply the basic food items for the English market. It sounds wonderful to have hydroponically grown vegetables from your own country all year round. However, it is disturbing to learn that the nutrients with which they plan to feed the plants are totally insufficient to sustain a body's required daily level. Most of us are aware that the body has a "required daily amount" (RDA) for it to function healthfully. Minerals are derived from the fruit and vegetables we eat – if the fruit and vegetables do not contain the minerals, then we will not be getting our RDA of specific minerals. My understanding is that the nutrients that are to be provided in this greenhouse will not meet the RDA requirement. How many people will fully understand or even be aware that the food from this hydroponics source contains sub-standard vitamin and mineral content?

As I have already said, please do not disregard the information as coming from a fanatic. I am not! I am an ordinary, 66-year-old woman who once was very ill and decided, No more! I am in charge of my life—no one else. I have read things that have delighted me in the world of health and things that have distressed me. I will be issuing further e-books, but these take time in gathering information. One of these will be called "Health Information That May Save Your Life". It is a little way from completion.

Here it is, Sunday, 29 March 2009, and even more information has come my way in the past week or so. It seems as though now that I have become aware of more information on Codex Alimentarius and Agenda 21 that articles, etc., are just popping out of the woodwork! In the past, without the knowledge that I have now, I would think to myself, Why on earth would they be doing this? Now I know the answer: to reduce the world population from 6 billion to 500 sustainable million!

I came across Elaine Hollingsworth, from Doctors Are Dangerous, [<http://www.doctorsaredangerous.com>] several years ago and have her book *Take Control of Your Health and Escape the Sickness Industry*, Eighth Edition. Elaine is the Director of Hippocrates Health Centre of Australia and her contact details are

<http://www.doctorsaredangerous.com> and <http://www.hippocrates.com.au>

Her Web site is well worth visiting. I found the Author's note in this book most interesting:

"It is customary for writers and publishers to have their work scrutinised by lawyers prior to publication in order to preclude the possibility of lawsuits from companies who feel affronted by statements and evidence presented which are critical of their products, commercial practices and ethics. I have chosen not to do so. Instead, I have gone to extreme lengths to ensure the accuracy of my statements and the veracity of my evidence. Any offence and distress caused to organisations and companies criticised in this book is entirely intentional. They deserve it. It is the least I can do in defence of the millions of their victims who have suffered much more than distress as a result of their disgraceful, self-interested conduct.

"In particular, should any of those I have singled out for dishonourable mention feel that my attack is unwarranted in their case, I would welcome the opportunity to debate the issue with them in court and in public. I can assure them that there is now a massive and growing worldwide audience of victims and their relatives who would be very interested to hear their defences. If they have any. Elaine Hollingsworth"

May I suggest that you visit Elaine's website and purchase the latest version of this book. You will not regret the purchase, as it has very valuable information contained in it and is probably a much more advanced copy than the version I currently hold. She covers many things that will perhaps answer health questions on symptoms you might be suffering and also advising on the safety and efficacy of different products in the marketplace. Some of the things that she covers are things that many people would have an interest in, like:

- Oils and fat
- Bone health
- Hormonal havoc
- Menopause
- Breast health
- Male sexual dysfunction, including the perils of prostate
- Dental health
- Cancer
- Vaccinations
- Radiation rampage

- Alzheimer's Disease

I have just come across some new legislation in America called the "Stimulus Bill", and it really surprised me to see that the new President Obama actually helped to push this bill through by calling "catastrophe".

Below is an article by Mike Adams which featured in Doctors Are Dangerous, March 2009. This Web site is a six-page summary of the legislation which prompted Mike's story.

<http://big.assets.huffingtonpost.com/ECSummary.pdf>

“Big Brother Health Care Provisions Slipped Into Economic Stimulus Bill”

by Mike Adams

The new \$800 billion economic stimulus bill contains some striking new "Big Brother" health care language that should give pause to all freedom-loving Americans. For starters, the bill requires the electronic tracking of the medical records of all Americans. All your private medical data will be stored in a government database, including your history of disease, pharmaceutical treatments, surgeries and even emergency room visits.

How would you like the government knowing all the details about your drug rehab? Or alcoholism treatments? Abortion? Sexually-transmitted disease diagnosis? Pregnancy status? Blood test results?

But it gets even more interesting than that: Under the new provisions found in the bill, **all U.S. doctors will now be stripped of autonomy and forced to follow the medical treatment guidelines dictated by the government.**

This news should rock the medical world (once they truly understand it). It means doctors can no longer make their own decisions about patients' needs or treatment protocols. From now on, all health care decisions will be centrally planned by a dictatorial, Big Brother health care authority in the federal government.

How to turn doctors into sheeple

As Bloomberg.com reports (<http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?..>), Tom Daschle was one of the architects of this plan, and he says that doctors "have to learn to operate less like solo practitioners."

Any doctor that deviates from that plan would be penalized by the government. That means, for example, that a local doctor who knows the patient and his family, and who is aware of specific local circumstances affecting that patient will no longer be able to apply any of that knowledge in treating the patient. All treatments will be dictated from the government, obliterating the whole point of allowing doctors to operate with some degree of autonomy in the first place.

Effectively, **this bill transforms the entire U.S. medical profession into nothing more than pill-pushing puppets.** It wipes out the intelligence of a local doctor and replaces it with the stupidity of Big Government.

If the government says all expectant mothers must be screened for depression and put on antidepressant drugs, then all the doctors have to play along and do that if they don't want to be fined or have their medical licenses taken away. If the government says nutritional supplements are dangerous and cannot be recommended to patients, then all doctors must remain silent and avoid telling patients the truth about helpful nutritional supplements. Whatever the government dictates must now be mirrored by all practicing doctors.

And don't forget: The U.S. government is largely controlled by the pharmaceutical companies, and that means these health care dictates are almost certain to emphasize pharmaceutical treatments, disease "screening" and disease mongering provisions designed to sell more drugs. You can count on there being increased requirements for vaccinations (HPV vaccines, too) and mental health screenings (psychiatric drugs, anyone?).

Communism is alive and well in America's health care system

Americans asked for health care, but what they got was **Health Communism**. There is absolutely no reason to believe that a centrally-controlled government body has any ability to make good health care decisions for individual patients across America. There is also no reason to believe the U.S. government will safeguard patient medical data or even respect the privacy of patients. This is the same government, after all, that has been caught routinely *spying on its own citizens* by tapping phone lines, emails, faxes and internet traffic.

If anything, the U.S. government has proven itself over the past few years to be **the enemy of the American people on the issue of health care**. Just look at the actions of the FDA in censoring nutritional supplement health claims (<http://www.naturalnews.com/019366.html>), or the FTC in assaulting herbal product companies (<http://www.naturalnews.com/025303.html>). This government is clearly dead set against health care in America, and the more we put government in charge of making health care decisions, the worse the outcome is going to be.

Obama cried "catastrophe" in urging lawmakers to pass this economic stimulus bill. It's funny how fear is now being used to pass every trillion-dollar spending scandal in Washington these days. That's one thing the government learned very well from 9/11 - **fear sells**. And it sold Congress on this stimulus bill, too.

But in terms of health care, the only thing this bill is going to stimulate is more disease, more dead Americans and more profits for Big Pharma. There's nothing in the bill about ending FDA censorship, or teaching the public about nutrition, or banning pharmaceutical advertising on television. All this bill has accomplished is to spend Americans ever more quickly into financial destitution and medical desperation. It is one of the final nails in the coffin of American prosperity.

From now on, America will largely be defined by disease and debt, and the Obama administration apparently has no answer to either one.

Watch out, folks. The government is here to save us.

Source – Doctors Are Dangerous – Elaine Hollingsworth
March 2009



“Food Modernization Act”

Now I am reading about Food Safety Modernization Act (HR 875). The one currently before the Senate at the moment is 11th Congress, 1st Session S.425 *“To amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide for the establishment of a traceability system for food, to amend the Federal Meat Inspection Act, the Poultry Products Inspections Act, the Egg Products Inspection Act, and the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to provide for improved public health and food safety through enhanced enforcement, and for other purposes.”*

<http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=s111-425>

Also 11th Congress, 1st Session H.R.875 in the House of Representatives on 4 February 2009. This one covers *“To establish the Food Safety Administration within the Department of Health and Human Services to protect the public health by preventing food-borne illness, ensuring the safety of food, improving research on contaminants leading to food-borne illness, and improving security of food from intentional contamination, and for other purposes.”*

<http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=h111-875>

It is interesting that this legislation information was forwarded to me by a friend in America and also from someone here in Queensland, Australia. The internet is a wonderful way of keeping people informed. Otherwise I would not have known of this and maybe you wouldn't have either.

I will put in quotes the comments from each country that accompanied the information to me:

The first one came from America:

“The following comes from my sister whose father-in-law is a farmer/rancher (and General Practitioner, interestingly enough)

I have read the Senate bill (425) and although I have concerns, I didn't see anything about pesticides in particular in the wording of the bill. I could not find the HR 875 to read it.

I urge people to read the actual bills, talk to the representatives and open communication on this one BEFORE things get out of hand.

You can contact your senators by going to:

http://www.senate.gov/general/contact_information/senators_cfm.cfm

You can contact your representatives by going to: <https://writerep.house.gov/writerep/welcome.shtml>”

The second one comes from Queensland Australia:

*House and Senate bills to kill all organic farms
(FAST track bills in 2 weeks)*

*Food Safety Modernization Act (HR 875):
Criminalization of Organic Farms*

Bills are:

House H.R. 875 <http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=h1111-875>

Senate S 425 <http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=s1111-425>

There is an enormous rush to get this into law within the next 2 weeks before people realize what is happening.

Main backer and lobbyist is Monsanto

This Bill will require organic farms to use specific fertilizers and poisonous insect sprays dictated by the newly formed agency to "make sure there is no danger to the public food supply".

If this passes then NO more heirloom clean seeds will be allowed, only Monsanto genetically altered seeds that are now causing unexpected diseases in humans.

Here is a short video on the subject:

<http://www.voteronpaul.com/newsDetail.php?Food-Safety-Modernization-Act-HR-875-Criminalization-of-Organic-Farms-222>



I notice in the American commentary that they were unable to find the actual wording of pesticides in the Bill. I have pdf versions of both Bills ,and if you would like a copy, please e-mail requesting these Bills and I will forward them to you. healthymoneyvine@gmail.com.

“We Become Silent”

The Codex CAFTA Documentary by Kevin Miller (28 minutes)
<http://herballure.com/Special/WeBecomeSilent/WindowsMedia.html>

Narration by Judi Dench.

“It's a sad fact that pharmaceuticals have become the dope of modern man. And make no mistake – we are addicted. Last year between 3 and 5 billion prescriptions were written in the United States alone....Secrecy and Sales have often circumvented Safety.”

Codex Alimentarius began innocently enough, but within the past decades, Codex Alimentarius has altered its mission dramatically. A US. Delegate to Codex began serving in June 2000. In his first meetings he tried repeatedly to speak with the Head American Delegate to Codex, Elizabeth Yetley, an employee of the Food and Drug Administration. She was pushing to remove a particular clause from a report that essentially said that the US supports the consumer right to have free access to vitamins and minerals. In the final report this clause was removed.

The producers of the documentary were able to get a rare interview with the former Deputy Commissioner of the FDA.

NOTE:

Producer Kevin P. Miller, who produced WE BECOME SILENT, has been a staunch advocate of health freedom for 20 years. His 1994 film, LET TRUTH BE THE BIAS exposed the FDA's raids on holistic doctors nationwide, and now he is bringing his award-winning skills to television.

Recently, a non-profit foundation was established to help fund Miller's news show called HealthCenter, the first-ever TV News program about alternative medicines. HealthCenter will report health news — every single week — to 25 million people weekly via cable and satellite TV — and to millions more through the internet.

It is vital that you donate to this cause, so that we will all have the freedom to see the truth about the medicines we love dearly and the legislative/economic barriers to medical freedom of choice.

Please support this historic opportunity by sending a tax-deductible donation to:

Attention: Claudia David Roscoe
CITIZENS HEALTH FREEDOM NETWORK
3344 Secor Road
Toledo, OH. 43606

SECTION 7
Conclusion

Conclusion

Finally, April 2009 I have completed this e-book!

It has been both an interesting and distressing experience in putting all the information together.

My aim in publishing this e-book was to alert as many people worldwide that I was able to reach with information that affects and will affect their lives now and in the future. Including our unborn babies!

I hope that the book has given you the impetus to research yourself and check these items out and be more aware of what is quietly going on in the background in your country. When you find information, don't just keep it to yourself, pass it on to family and friends and whoever else you think might be interested. Even I would be interested, so contact me on healthymoneyvine@gmail.com Put in your subject heading "interesting information" so that I will know that it is someone trying to contact me with information and not just spam mail.

When you see or hear things that just don't seem right to you, take a closer look, as the likelihood is that they won't be right. There most likely is a reason why it caught your attention!

Even I, who have been reading and learning about these things for the past 13 years, began to find the assembling of this book distressing. Every time I turned on my computer, more information was coming to hand – not information that delighted me but rather distressed me, to think that man could perpetrate acts like I have outlined in this e-book on other people.

I think we are all naive enough to think that this type of behaviour only occurs during wars! How wrong we are.

This book is dedicated to your health and safety of both you and your family. Please don't hesitate to contact me if I can assist you in anyway.

I am looking forward to starting work on my free e-book "*Health Information That May Save Your Life*". My biggest problem in putting this e-book together will be how much room I have to share such wonderful news that I have found on all sorts of things that will help you and your family.

Love to you all

Marilyn Vine

<http://www.healthymoneyvine.com>

healthymoneyvine@gmail.com

May 2009

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - History of Experimentation on Human Guinea Pigs

Fred Burks on behalf of PEERS Websites [b02f@peerservice.org]

1931 - Dr. Cornelius Rhoads, under the auspices of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Investigations, infects human subjects with cancer cells. He later goes on to establish the U.S. Army Biological Warfare facilities in Maryland, Utah, and Panama, and is named to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. While there, he begins a series of radiation exposure experiments on American soldiers and civilian hospital patients.

1932 - The Tuskegee Syphilis Study begins. 200 black men diagnosed with syphilis are never told of their illness, are denied treatment, and instead are used as human guinea pigs in order to follow the progression and symptoms of the disease. They all subsequently die from syphilis, their families never told that they could have been treated.

1935 - The Pellagra Incident. After millions of individuals die from pellagra over a span of two decades, the U.S. Public Health Service finally acts to stem the disease. The director of the agency admits it had known for at least 20 years that Pellagra is caused by a niacin deficiency but failed to act since most of the deaths occurred within poverty-stricken black populations.

1940 - Four hundred prisoners in Chicago are infected with malaria in order to study the effects of new and experimental drugs to combat the disease. Nazi doctors later on trial at Nuremberg cite this American study to defend their own actions during the Holocaust.

1942 - Chemical Warfare Services begins mustard gas experiments on approximately 4,000 servicemen. The experiments continue until 1945 and made use of Seventh Day Adventists who chose to become human guinea pigs rather than serve on active duty.

1943 - In response to Japan's full-scale germ warfare program, the U.S. begins research on biological weapons at Fort Detrick, MD.

1944 - U.S. Navy uses human subjects to test gas masks and clothing. Individuals were locked in a gas chamber and exposed to mustard gas and lewisite.

1945 - Project Paperclip is initiated. The U.S. State Department, Army intelligence, and the CIA recruit Nazi scientists and offer them immunity and secret identities in exchange for work on top secret government projects in the United States.

1945 - "Program F" is implemented by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (AEC). This is the most extensive U.S. study of the health effects of fluoride, which was the key chemical component in atomic bomb production. One of the most toxic chemicals known to man, fluoride, it is found, causes marked adverse effects to the central nervous system but much of the information is squelched in the name of national security because of fear that lawsuits would undermine full-scale production of atomic bombs.

1946 - Patients in VA hospitals are used as guinea pigs for medical experiments. In order to allay suspicions, the order is given to change the word "experiments" to "investigations" or "observations" whenever reporting a medical study performed in one of the nation's veteran's hospitals.

1947 - Colonel E.E. Kirkpatrick of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission issues a secret document (Document 07075001, January 8, 1947) stating that the agency will begin administering intravenous doses of radioactive substances to human subjects.

1947 - The CIA begins its study of LSD as a potential weapon for use by American intelligence. Human subjects (both civilian and military) are used with and without their knowledge.

1950 - Department of Defense begins plans to detonate nuclear weapons in desert areas and monitor downwind residents for medical problems and mortality rates.

1950 - In an experiment to determine how susceptible an American city would be to biological attack, the U.S. Navy sprays a cloud of bacteria from ships over San Francisco. Monitoring devices are situated throughout the city in order to test the extent of infection. Many residents become ill with pneumonia-like symptoms.

1951 - Department of Defense begins open air tests using disease-producing bacteria and viruses. Tests last through 1969 and there is concern that people in the surrounding areas have been exposed.

1953 - U.S. military releases clouds of zinc cadmium sulfide gas over Winnipeg, St. Louis, Minneapolis, Fort Wayne, the Monocacy River Valley in Maryland, and Leesburg, Virginia. Their intent is to determine how efficiently they could disperse chemical agents.

1953 - Joint Army-Navy-CIA experiments are conducted in which tens of thousands of people in New York and San Francisco are exposed to the airborne germs *Serratia marcescens* and *Bacillus globigii*.

1953 - CIA initiates Project MKULTRA. This is an eleven year research program designed to produce and test drugs and biological agents that would be used for mind control and behavior modification. Six of the subprojects involved testing the agents on unwitting human beings.

1955 - The CIA, in an experiment to test its ability to infect human populations with biological agents, releases a bacteria withdrawn from the Army's biological warfare arsenal over Tampa Bay, Fl.

1956 - U.S. military releases mosquitoes infected with yellow fever over Savannah, Ga and Avon Park, Fl. Following each test, Army agents posing as public health officials test victims for effects.

1960 - The Army Assistant Chief-of-Staff for Intelligence (ACSI) authorizes field testing of LSD in Europe and the Far East. Testing of the European population is code named Project THIRD CHANCE; testing of the Asian population is code named Project DERBY HAT.

1965 - Project CIA and Department of Defense begin Project MKSEARCH, a program to develop a capability to manipulate human behaviour through the use of mind-altering drugs.

1965 - Prisoners at the Holmesburg State Prison in Philadelphia are subjected to dioxin, the highly toxic chemical component of Agent Orange used in Viet Nam. The men are later studied for development of cancer, which indicates that Agent Orange had been a suspected carcinogen all along.

1966 - CIA initiates Project MKOFTEN, a program to test the toxicological effects of certain drugs on humans and animals.

1966 - U.S. Army dispenses *Bacillus subtilis* variant *niger* throughout the New York City subway system. More than a million civilians are exposed when army scientists drop lightbulbs filled with the bacteria onto ventilation grates.

1967 - CIA and Department of Defense implement Project MKNAOMI, successor to MKULTRA and designed to maintain, stockpile and test biological and chemical weapons.

1968 - CIA experiments with the possibility of poisoning drinking water by injecting chemicals into the water supply of the FDA in Washington, D.C.

1969 - Dr. Robert MacMahan of the Department of Defense requests from congress \$10 million to develop, within 5 to 10 years, a synthetic biological agent to which no natural immunity exists.

1970 - Funding for the synthetic biological agent is obtained under H.R. 15090. The project, under the supervision of the CIA, is carried out by the Special Operations Division at Fort Detrick, the army's top secret biological weapons facility. Speculation is raised that molecular biology techniques are used to produce AIDS-like retroviruses.

1970 - United States intensifies its development of "ethnic weapons" (Military Review, Nov., 1970), designed to selectively target and eliminate specific ethnic groups who are susceptible due to genetic differences and variations in DNA.

1975 - The virus section of Fort Detrick's Center for Biological Warfare Research is renamed the Fredrick Cancer Research Facilities and placed under the supervision of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) . It is here that a special virus cancer program is initiated by the U.S. Navy, purportedly to develop cancer-causing viruses. It is also here that retrovirologists isolate a virus to which no immunity exists. It is later named HTLV (Human T-cell Leukemia Virus).

1977 - Senate hearings on Health and Scientific Research confirm that 239 populated areas had been contaminated with biological agents between 1949 and 1969. Some of the areas included San Francisco, Washington, D.C., Key West, Panama City, Minneapolis, and St. Louis.

1978 - Experimental Hepatitis B vaccine trials, conducted by the CDC, begin in New York, Los Angeles and San Francisco. Ads for research subjects specifically ask for promiscuous homosexual men.

1981 - First cases of AIDS are confirmed in homosexual men in New York, Los Angeles and San Francisco, triggering speculation that AIDS may have been introduced via the Hepatitis B vaccine.

1985 - According to the journal Science (227:173-177), HTLV and VISNA, a fatal sheep virus, are very similar, indicating a close taxonomic and evolutionary relationship.

1986 - According to the Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences (83:4007-4011), HIV and VISNA are highly similar and share all structural elements, except for a small segment which is nearly identical to HTLV. This leads to speculation that HTLV and VISNA may have been linked to produce a new retrovirus to which no natural immunity exists.

1986 - A report to Congress reveals that the U.S. Government's current generation of biological agents includes: modified viruses, naturally occurring toxins, and agents that are altered through genetic engineering to change immunological character and prevent treatment by all existing vaccines.

1987 - Department of Defense admits that, despite a treaty banning research and development of biological agents, it continues to operate research facilities at 127 facilities and universities around the nation.

1990 - More than 1500 six-month old black and Hispanic babies in Los Angeles are given an "experimental" measles vaccine that had never been licensed for use in the United States. CDC later admits that parents were never informed that the vaccine being injected to their children was experimental.

1994 - With a technique called "gene tracking," Dr. Garth Nicolson at the MD Anderson Cancer Center in Houston, TX discovers that many returning Desert Storm veterans are infected with an altered strain of Mycoplasma incognitus, a microbe commonly used in the production of biological weapons. Incorporated into its molecular structure is 40 percent of the HIV protein coat, indicating that it had been man-made.

1994 - Senator John D. Rockefeller issues a report revealing that for at least 50 years the Department of Defense has used hundreds of thousands of military personnel in human experiments and for intentional exposure to dangerous substances. Materials included mustard and nerve gas, ionizing radiation, psychochemicals, hallucinogens, and drugs used during the Gulf War.

1995 - U.S. Government admits that it had offered Japanese war criminals and scientists who had performed human medical experiments salaries and immunity from prosecution in exchange for data on biological warfare research.

1995 - Dr. Garth Nicolson, uncovers evidence that the biological agents used during the Gulf War had been manufactured in Houston, TX and Boca Raton, FL and tested on prisoners in the Texas Department of Corrections.

1996 - Department of Defense admits that Desert Storm soldiers were exposed to chemical agents.

1997 - Eighty-eight members of Congress sign a letter demanding an investigation into bioweapons use & Gulf War Syndrome.

Note: For more information on these important matters, see our two-page mind control summary at <http://www.WantToKnow.info/mindcontrol> or even better, the information-packed 10-page summary at <http://www.WantToKnow.info/mindcontrol10pg>. By informing your friends and colleagues of these little-reported facts, you can help to build the critical mass necessary to force the media to give adequate coverage and stop these abuses. Let us work towards ever greater transparency both in government and in our own personal lives. Thanks for caring.

<http://www.wanttoknow.info/humanguineapigs>

Fred Burks on behalf of PEERS Websites [b02f@peerservice.org] on 21 August 2008 gave me permission to reprint this article.

APPENDIX B – Combatting the Codex Alimentarius

Gregory Damato, PhD, *Nexus Magazine*, October 2008

Billions of people will suffer from degenerative diseases due to poor nutrition and limited access to health supplements if the powerful global corporations behind the new Codex Alimentarius standards are allowed to “harmonise” the world.

POPULATION CONTROL UNDER THE GUISE OF CONSUMER PROTECTION

The Codeath, sorry, Codex Alimentarius Commission is a very misunderstood organisation. Most people have never heard of it, and those who *have* heard of it may not understand the true reality of this extremely powerful trade organisation. According to the official Codex website (<http://www.codexalimentarius.net>), the altruistic purpose of this commission is in "protecting health of the consumers and ensuring fair trade practices in the food trade, and promoting coordination of all food standards work undertaken by international governmental and non-governmental organizations". The Codex Alimentarius (Latin for "Food Code") is regulated under a joint venture between the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the World Health Organization (WHO).

Brief History of Codex

The history of Codex began in 1893 when the Austro-Hungarian Empire decided it needed a specific set of guidelines by which the courts could rule on cases dealing with food.¹ This set of regulatory mandates became known as Codex Alimentarius. It was effectively implemented until the fall of the empire in 1918.

At a meeting in 1962, the United Nations (UN) decided that Codex should be re-implemented worldwide in order to "protect" the health of consumers. Two thirds of funding for Codex emanates from the FAO, and the other third comes from the WHO.

In 2002, FAO and WHO had serious concerns about the direction of Codex and hired an external consultant to determine its performance since 1962 and to designate which direction to take the trade organisation.² The consultant concluded that Codex should be scrapped immediately. It was at this time that big industry stepped in and exerted its powerful influence. The updated outcome was a toned-down report asking Codex to address 20 concerns within the organisation.

Since 2002, the Codex Alimentarius Commission has covertly surrendered its role as an international public health and consumer protection organisation. Under the helm of big industry, the surreptitious purpose of the new Codex is to increase profits for the global corporate juggernauts while controlling the world through food.

Codex Inequalities

The most dominant country behind the agenda of Codex is the United States, whose primary purpose is to benefit the large multinational interests of Big Pharma, Big Agribusiness, Big Chema and the like. At the latest meeting in Geneva, Switzerland (30 June to 4 July 2008), the US became the chair of Codex³ and now will exacerbate the distortion of health freedom and continue to promulgate misinformation and lies about nutrients and genetically modified organisms (GMOs) while fulfilling its tacit population-control agenda. One reason why the US continues to dominate Codex is because other countries falsely believe that it possesses the latest and greatest food safety technology; hence, whatever the US asks for, its allies (Australia, Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, Singapore and the European Union) follow suit nearly every time.

The fact that Codex meetings are held all over the world is also no accident and allows the US to maintain its tight grip on the Codex agenda because the less economically viable countries are not able to attend. The governments of many of these countries (such as Cameroon, Egypt, Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, South Africa, Sudan and Swaziland) realise that Codex has been altered from a benevolent food organisation to one that is fraudulent, lethal and illegitimate.

¹ Laibow, R.E. *Nutricide: Criminalizing Natural Health, Vitamins, and Herbs (video)*, Natural Solutions Foundation, 2005 at <http://www.HealthFreedomUSA.org>

² Laibow, Rima E., MD, “Nutraceuticide” and Codex Alimentarius: “The Death of Nutritional Medicine”, *Alternative & Complementary Therapies* 2005 Oct 1; 11(5):223-229

³ USDA, “FSIS official Dr Karen Hulebak elected chair of Codex Alimentarius Commission”, News Release No.0175.08, 1 July 2008, at <http://www.usda.gov/wps/portal/!ut/p/ s.7 0 A/7 0 10B?contentidonly=true&contentid=2008/07/0175.xml> (accessed 17 August 2008)

Health Freedom Threats

While the mainstream media are busy with their esoteric agenda of driving fear into the hearts of the world's populace by focusing on terrorism, global warming, salmonella outbreaks and food shortages, the real threats are surreptitiously becoming a reality. Soon, every single thing you put into your mouth, including water (with the exception of pharmaceuticals, of course), will be highly regulated by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

The Codex standards are a complete affront to people's freedom to access clean, healthy food and beneficial nutrients, yet these regulations have no legal international standing. Why should we be worried? These soon-to-be mandatory standards will apply to every country that's a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO) (presently there are 153 members). If countries do not follow these standards, then crippling economic and trade sanctions may be imposed on them, although countries may be able to avoid the standards of Codex through the implementation of their own international standards.

Some government-run agencies, like the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA) in Australia, are informing the public that the vitamin and mineral guideline of Codex will not affect their country.

For example, the TGA had this to say:

"The proposed Codex Guidelines for Vitamin and Mineral Food Supplements will *not* apply in Australia and will have no impact on the way these types of products are regulated in Australia."⁴

The bottom line is that no one knows what types of laws will be passed before Codex harmonisation occurs, and no country is safe from these international guidelines, regardless of what government agencies are saying in order to quell pre-emptively any potential public uprising. Many alternative health activists believe this may be a method to confuse and obfuscate the Codex issue until it is too late. Some Codex standards which are proposed to take effect in the near future, and which will be completely irrevocable once initiated, include:⁵

- All nutrients (e.g., vitamins and minerals) are to be considered toxins/poisons and are to be removed from *all* food because Codex prohibits the use of nutrients to "prevent, treat or cure any condition or disease".
- All food (including organic) is to be irradiated, thus removing all "toxic" nutrients from food (unless consumers can source their food locally). The precursor to Codex harmonisation in this area began in the USA in August 2008 with the clandestine decision to mandate the mass irradiation of all lettuce and spinach in the name of public health and safety. If the safety of the public was the main concern of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), then why were people not alerted to this new practice?
- Nutrients allowed will be limited to a Positive List developed by Codex; it will include such "beneficial" nutrients as fluoride (3.8 mg daily), sourced from industrial waste.
- All nutrients (e.g., vitamins A, B, C, D, zinc and magnesium) that have any positive health impact on the body will be deemed illegal in therapeutic doses under Codex and are to be reduced to amounts negligible to health, with maximum limits set at 15 per cent of the current Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA)⁶. You will not be able to obtain these nutrients in therapeutic doses anywhere in the world, even with a prescription. Potentially permissible levels of nutrients under the Codex are not yet set in stone. Some probable examples based on the European Union (EU) system may include:
 - Niacin: upper limit of 34g (micrograms) daily (effective daily doses range from 2,000 to 3,000)
 - Vitamin C: upper limits of 65 to 225g daily (effective daily doses range from 6,000 to 10,000)
 - Vitamin D: upper limit of 5 daily (effective daily doses range from 6,000 to 10,000)
 - Vitamin E: upper limit of 15 IU (international units) of alpha tocopherol per day, even though alpha tocopherol by itself has been implicated in cell damage and is toxic to the body (effective daily doses of mixed tocopherols range from 10,000 to 12,000 IU)
- It will most likely be illegal to give *any* advice on nutrition (including in written articles posted online and

⁴ Therapeutic Goods Administration, Codex Fact Sheet, May 2005, at http://www.tga.gov.au/cm/fs_codex.htm (accessed 17 August 2008)

⁵ Laibow, "Nutraceuticide" and Codex Alimentarius", op.cit.

⁶ Codex Guidelines for Vitamin and Mineral Food Supplements, at http://www.lchfa.ca/media/pdf_files/Codex%20vms%20Guidelines%202005.pdf (accessed 10 July 2008)

in journals as well as oral advice to a friend, a family member or anyone). This directive applies to any and all reports on vitamins and minerals and all nutritionists' consultations. This type of information may be considered a hidden barrier to trade and may result in economic trade sanctions for the involved country.

- All dairy cows on the planet are to be treated with Monsanto's genetically engineered recombinant bovine growth hormone (rBGH).
- All animals used for food are to be treated with potent antibiotics and exogenous growth hormones.
- Deadly and carcinogenic organic pesticides, including seven of the 12 worst (e.g., hexachlorobenzene, toxaphene and aldrin), which were banned by 176 countries (including the US) in 1991 at the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants,⁷ will be allowed back into food at elevated levels.
- The Codex will allow dangerous and toxic levels of aflatoxin (0.5 ppb) in milk. Aflatoxin, produced in animal feed that's gone mouldy in storage, is the second-most potent (non-radiation-related) carcinogenic compound known.
- Use of growth hormones and antibiotics will be mandatory on all livestock, birds and aquacultured species meant for human consumption.
- The worldwide introduction of unlabelled and deadly GMOs into crops, animals, fish and plants will be mandated.
- Elevated levels of residue from pesticides and insecticides that are toxic to humans and animals will be allowed.

The Population Control Agenda

In 1995, the FDA adopted an illegal policy which stated that international standards (i.e., Codex) would supersede US laws governing all food, even if these standards were incomplete⁸ Furthermore, in 2004, the US passed the Central America Free Trade Agreement (illegal under US law, but legal under international law) that requires the US to conform to Codex.⁹ Once these standards are adopted, there is no way to return to the standards of old, but countries can adopt ones that are considered higher than those of Codex. An example of this would be the European Supplements Directive. Once Codex compliance begins in *any* area, as long as any country remains a member of the WTO, it is totally irrevocable: the standards cannot be repealed, changed or altered in any way, shape or form.^{10 11 12}

Population control for money is the easiest way to describe the new Codex Alimentarius, which in effect is being run by the US and primarily controlled by Big Pharma with the aim of reducing the world's population from its current estimate of 6.692 billion to a *sustainable* 500 million—an approximate 93 per cent reduction. Interestingly enough, before the arrival of Europeans in America, the Native American population in the US was around 60 million.¹³ today it hovers around 500,000, or an approximate 92 per cent reduction as a result of government policies of genocide, starvation and poisoning. Codex is similar to other population control measures undertaken clandestinely by governments of the western world; for example, the introduction of DNA-damaging and latent immunosuppressive agents in vaccines (e.g., weaponised avian flu and AIDS), aspartame, chemtrails, chemotherapy for cancer *treatment* and RU486 (the abortion pill funded by the Rockefeller dynasty). FAO and WHO have estimated that by the introduction of just the vitamin and mineral guideline alone, within 10

⁷ Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, <http://chm.pops.int/> (accessed 10 July 2008)

⁸ US Food and Drug Administration, *Federal Register*, October 11, 1995 (vol.60, no. 196) at http://www.fda.gov/oia/IH_policy.html (accessed 10 July 2008)

⁹ Washington Office on Latin America (WOLA), Central America-Dominican Republic

¹⁰ Laiabow, *Nutricide* (video), op.cit

¹¹ Laibow "Nutraceuticid" and Codex Alimentarius", op.cit

¹² Laibow, R.E., MD, Natural Solutions Foundation's Codex Commission Report (video), at <http://www.healthfreedomusa.org/> (accessed 10 July 2008)

¹³ Cook, N.D., *Born to Die: Disease and New World Conquest*, 1492-1650, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York, 1998

years a *minimum* three billion deaths will result.¹⁴

One billion of these deaths will be due to starvation, and two billion as a result of preventable and degenerative diseases of under-nutrition, e.g., cancer, cardiovascular disease and diabetes.^{15, 16}

The foisting of degraded, de-mineralised, pesticide-filled and irradiated foods on consumers is the fastest and most efficient way to cause a profitable surge in malnutrition and preventable and degenerative diseases, for which the most appropriate course of action is toxic pharmaceutical treatment. Death for profit is the new name of the game. Big Pharma has been waiting for Codex harmonisation for years. An incognisant world population physically degenerating at an accelerated pace, providing a spike in revenue, is the ultimate goal for the furtive and egregious controllers of this corrupt trade organisation purportedly looking out for the health of consumers.

Fighting Back with Private Standards

Rima Laibow, MD, medical director for the Natural Solutions Foundation, has brought legal action against the US government and continues to attend every Codex meeting as a public observer while fighting for our health freedom. She has also been meeting with delegates from various countries to make them aware of Private Standards, which allow countries to draft food standards which are safer and higher than those mandated by Codex. Obviously, drafting safer standards is not a difficult task, and many countries can seemingly circumvent the flawed and irrevocable guidelines that Codex is attempting to implement.¹⁷

Battle over GM Labelling

The latest Codex meeting in Geneva concluded with some interesting outcomes. Some long-simmering acrimony began to surface as the US continued to force forward the biased agendas of Big Pharma, Big Chema, Big Agribusiness and the like without considering the input of many other countries. Typically, if the US does not want a country's input, the host country of the meeting simply denies visas to official delegates. Several countries object to this practice and stated that because of this and other reasons, decisions made by Codex in their absence do not have international legitimacy.

One major point of contention is the US and the Codex Alimentarius Commission's staunch refusal to allow labelling of GMOs. Japan, Norway, Russia, Switzerland and virtually all the African countries and 26 European Union countries have fought the US for nearly 18 years to introduce mandatory labelling of GMOs. The US fallaciously considers GMOs to be equivalent to non-GMOs, based solely on a 1992 Executive Order from then-President George H. W. Bush. Consequently, no pre-market safety testing is conducted on *any* GMOs before they are released into the food chain in the US. The FDA refuses to review any safety data except to conduct a single preliminary review early in the organism's development.

Opponents of the US policy prohibiting labelling of genetically modified food conclude that the US does not want GMOs labelled because of the potential legal ramifications for and liability of manufacturers and the US government if these foods can be traced. If millions of people are harmed or killed due to the instability of the inserted DNA promoter viruses and marker bacteria when interacting with the dynamic and fluid structure of the human body, then millions of lawsuits may result. But if these GMOs are totally untraceable, then corporate or government liability cannot be assessed and the health of the entire population suffers. Some FDA scientists have repeatedly warned about releasing GMOs into the general food supply because of the dangers, but they have been ignored or routinely overruled.

Prior to the Geneva meeting, the Codex Committee on Food Labelling met in Ottawa, Canada (28 April to 2 May 2008). The meeting concluded with several pro-mandatory GMO labelling nations angry that the committee had not objectively analysed the empirical research, prepared by the South Africa delegation, detailing the dangers of GMOs. This document delineated the need for mandatory labelling of GMOs, but was ignored and subsequently withdrawn due to US pressure. As a result, several countries planned to scrap the requirements of Codex and adopt their own labelling system for GMOs in an effort to curtail the spread of "lethal" food. This became a real quandary for FAO and WHO.

According to Dr Laibow, at the recent Geneva meeting FAO and WHO finally stepped in and decided to undertake a program to identify *low-level* contamination of GMOs in food.

¹⁴ Personal communication with Dr Rima Laibow from Jim Turner, JD, partner at Swankin & Turner, Washing, DC, quoted in: Laibow, "Nutraceuticide" and Codex Alimentarius", op.cit , p223

¹⁵ Laibow, *Nutricide* (video), op.cit

¹⁶ Laibow, "Nutraceuticide" and Codex Alimentarius, op.cit

¹⁷ Laibow, Natural Solutions Foundation's Codex Commission Report (video), op.cit

The definition of low-level contamination will still depend on each country's standards. For example, the US currently allows for up to 10 per cent (the highest of any country under Codex) of GMO *contamination* of organic foods and, amazingly, still allows them to be labelled as "USDA Certified Organic". Some governments, such as the European Union, allow only 0.9 per cent contamination, while others permit merely 0.1 per cent. However, the FAO and WHO's use of the term "contamination" simply does not describe the GMOs as being mixed in with normal food. This term is also very noteworthy, as most research on the dangers of GMOs can no longer be denied.

The US, of course, vehemently objected to such a designation, but this time to no avail. Although FAO and WHO have not gone so far as to require mandatory labelling of GMOs, their recognition that GMOs can contaminate food is a huge win for health freedom.

Expanding that requirement to mandatory labelling is the next logical step, but this is still a work in progress.

Take Action against Codex!

The only way to avoid the death-for profit agenda is to fight back by disseminating knowledge to everyone you know.

It does not matter whether they are still asleep or hypnotised by the enslavement of daily life or too busy to pay attention: the time to wake up is now.

The US government and the collaborating media have been trying to distract the world while all these egregious and mandatory standards are covertly passed.

It is time to take action, and you can do so by going to the website of the Natural Solutions Foundation, which can be found at www.healthfreedomusa.org, and following the latest updates on Codex. You can also sign a legal citizen's petition at the web page http://www.healthfreedomusa.org/index.php?page_id=184.

It is very important that swift and vociferous action be taken now. Times are changing very rapidly, and unless we all come together on this issue we may have to start thinking about growing our own food in the near future to avoid calculated extermination.

Here are more contacts for action against the Codex

Australia

You can send an email to the Department of Agriculture and Food in Western Australia or the Minister for Agriculture in your respective state. For example, in WA the email address is enquiries@agric.wa.gov.au.

The Therapeutic Goods Administration can also be contacted online via its website.
<http://www.tga.gov.au/contact.htm>.

New Zealand

You can use the NZ Health Trust's website to send your comments to your Member of Parliament:
http://www.nzhealthtrust.co.nz/email_mp.html.

UK

Emails can be sent to the Food Standards Agency, which represents the UK at Codex sessions.

Contact:

Michelle McQuillan by email at michelle.mcquillan@foodstandards.gsi.gov.uk.

USA

You can get your voice heard by sending emails or writing to your congressperson
<https://forms.house.gov/wyr/welcome.shtml>).

If you send one email to Congress, it will ostensibly count as 13,000 emails. (US Congress suggests that for each person who takes the time to write or email a congressperson, there are another 13,000 others who share similar views but do not take the time to promulgate them.) You can also contact US Congress - <http://www.visi.com/juan/congress/>.

The address for the US Codex is:

US Department of Agriculture,
South Building, Room 4861,
1400 Independence Ave, SW,
Washington, DC 20250,

phone (202) 205 7760,

fax (202) 720 3157,

email uscodex@fsis.usda.gov.

The US Codex official website - http://www.fsis.usda.gov/Codex_Alimentarius/index.asp.

Other Countries

The best way to have your voice heard is to determine who your local representatives are and contact them with a unified and vociferous stand. This can be done easily through various online search engines.

About the author: Gregory Damato, PhD, enjoys a vegan lifestyle and runs a Quantum Biofeedback clinic managing various clients with conditions ranging from depression to cancer.

He is currently writing a book for parents, educating on hidden dangers of vaccines, chemical toxicity in toys, GMOs, EMF and EMR, and on ways to combat rising levels of childhood illness and neurological disease by building immunity naturally and through detoxification and sound nutrition.

His goal is to increase global awareness of the myriad health issues facing us today and the fact that 100 percent of them are preventable and completely reversible.

Dr Damato is a licensed psychologist based in Perth, Western Australia, and can be contacted by email at info@quantumenergywellness.com.

His website is <http://www.quantumenergywellness.com>.



Dr Damato has given Marilyn Vine written permission to publish his article in its entirety.

APPENDIX C – Excerpts from: Agenda 21 & The United Nations

By [Henry Lamb](#)

Executive Vice President of The Environmental Conservation Organization, Inc.

www.freedom.org - August 3, 2006

See also [Local Agenda 21- The U.N. Plan for Your Community](#)

Agenda 21 is a 300-page, 40-chapter, “soft-law” policy document adopted by the delegates to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. The document is not legally binding; it is a set of policy recommendations designed to reorganize global society around the principles of environmental protection, social equity, and what is called “sustainable” economic development. At the heart of the concept of sustainable development, is the assumption that government must manage society to ensure that human activity conforms to these principles.

The idea that government is inherently empowered to manage the affairs of society is diametrically opposed to the idea that the just power of government is derived from the consent of the governed. As these conflicting principles collide in the arena of public policy, the people who are governed are losing the ability to limit the power of government. Consequently, government power over people is expanding.

Nowhere is this transformation more dramatic than in the policies governing private property rights and the use of land and its resources.... This right is being usurped by government, which now dictates to private property owners how their land may - and may not - be used....

This transformation is not the result of a deliberate decision made by elected representatives after fair and public debate. It is the result of years of subtle influence and obscure processes relentlessly imposed through the United Nations’ agencies and organizations, and a multitude of non-government organizations accredited by, and sympathetic to the United Nations’ agenda.

Among the most influential non-government organizations are the **International Union for the Conservation of Nature** (IUCN), the **Worldwide Fund for Nature** (formerly the World Wildlife Fund, and still known as the WWF), and the **World Resources Institute** (WRI). These three organizations, together with various United Nations agencies and organizations, shaped the policies that are now being implemented in the United States, and around the world, under the banner of sustainable development.

These three organizations participated in the preparations for the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements in 1976, where the first formal policy on land use was adopted by a U.N. agency. Many of the land use restrictions now imposed on land owners across America arise directly from the policy recommendations adopted at this U.N. conference. The preamble to the conference report on land use sets the tone for more than 50 pages of very specific land use policy recommendations:

"Land...cannot be treated as an ordinary asset, controlled by individuals and subject to the pressures and inefficiencies of the market. Private land ownership is also a principal instrument of accumulation and concentration of wealth and therefore contributes to social injustice; if unchecked, it may become a major obstacle in the planning and implementation of development schemes. The provision of decent dwellings and healthy conditions for the people can only be achieved if land is used in the interests of society as a whole. Public control of land use is therefore indispensable...."[1]

Here is an example of the policy recommendations that follow:
Recommendation A.1

(b) All countries should establish as a matter of urgency a **national policy on human settlements**, embodying the **distribution of population**...over the national territory.

(c)(v) Such a policy should be devised to **facilitate population redistribution** to accord with the availability of resources.

Recommendation D.1

(a) Public ownership or effective **control of land in the public interest** is the single most important means of...achieving a more **equitable distribution** of the benefits of development whilst assuring that environmental impacts are considered.

(b) Land is a scarce resource whose management should be **subject to public surveillance or control** in the interest of the nation.

(d) Governments must maintain full jurisdiction and exercise complete sovereignty over such land with a view to **freely planning development of human settlements**.... [2]

The recommendations contained in this report are remarkably similar to the conclusions reached in three publications financed by the [Rockefeller](#) Brothers Fund, compiled and edited by William K Reilly. The first, *The Use of Land: A Citizen's Policy Guide to Urban Growth*, was published in 1972. The second document, entitled *The Unfinished Agenda*, was published in 1977. ...

The third publication of the Rockefeller Brothers Fund was entitled *Blueprint for the Environment*, which was 1500 pages containing 730 specific recommendations delivered to President-elect, **George Bush** on November 30, **1988**.

William K. Reilly was responsible for the development of each of these publications. He was also one of the U.S. delegates to the 1976 U.N. Conference on Human Settlements who signed the document on behalf of the United States. This same William K. Reilly, left his job as head of the World Wildlife Fund, to become the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, **appointed by George H.W. Bush**.

This same William K. Reilly, while serving in the Bush Cabinet, accompanied then-Senator **Al Gore**, to the **U.N. Conference on Environment and Development** in Rio de Janeiro in **1992**. There, he publicly urged President Bush to sign Agenda 21, and the Framework Convention on Climate Change, and ridiculed the President for not signing the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Agenda 21, Chapter 37.4(a) recommends that:

(a) Each country should aim to complete, as soon as practicable, if possible by 1994, a review of capacity - and **capability-building** requirements for **devising national sustainable development strategies**, including those for generating and implementing its own Agenda 21 action programme;

On June 29, 1993, President **Bill Clinton** complied with this recommendation by appointing Vice President **Al Gore** to conduct a National Performance Review, and by issuing Executive Order Number 12852, which created the [President's Council on Sustainable Development](#).^[3] Its 25 members included most Cabinet Secretaries, representatives from The Nature Conservancy, the Sierra Club and other non-government organizations, and a few representatives from industry.

The function of the President's Council on Sustainable Development was to find ways to **implement the recommendations of Agenda 21** administratively. Al Gore's National Performance Review resulted in overhauling the Departments of Interior and Agriculture to implement what he called the "Ecosystem Management Policy." This policy embraced many of the recommendations found in Chapters 10 through 18 of Agenda 21, all of which deal with management of land and resources.

At the 11th meeting of the President's Council on Sustainable Development, Ron Brown, then Secretary of the Department of Commerce, reported that his department could implement more than 60 percent of the recommendations of Agenda 21 through the rule making process, without additional legislation. Similar reports came from the Department of Housing and Urban Development.

These two departments were primarily responsible for funneling more than \$5 million in grants to the American Planning Association for a project that resulted in the publication of **Growing Smart** Legislative Guidebook: Model Statutes for Planning and the Management of Change. [4]

This publication provides model legislation for state legislatures which, when adopted, writes into state law many of the policy recommendations set forth in Agenda 21.

The **Ecosystem Management Policy**, coordinated with existing legislation such as the **Endangered Species Act** and the **Clean Water Act**, gave the federal government the power to regulate land use in rural America. The model legislation provided in the American Planning Association's publication, gave state governments the

power to regulate land use at the state, county, and municipal levels. **The federal government encouraged states to adopt this legislation by offering incentive grants to states and to local governments.** Consequently, the recommendations prescribed in Agenda 21 are being systematically implemented across the nation.

This process is transforming America into the managed society envisioned in the 1976 U.N. Habitat document. This vision has been described in much greater detail in subsequent documents published by both the U.N., and the federal government.

The **Global Biodiversity Assessment**, published by the United Nations Environment Program, to be the instruction book for implementing the Convention on Biological Diversity, describes a nation where most of the land is protected for wildlife and biodiversity:

"This [protected areas] means that representative areas of all major ecosystems in a region need to be reserved, that blocks should be as large as possible, that buffer zones should be established around core areas, and that corridors should connect these areas. This basic design is central to the recently-proposed Wildlands Project in the United States." [5]

The [Wildlands project](#) referenced here has an even more vivid description:

"...that **at least half of the land** area of the 48 conterminous states should be encompassed in core reserves and inner corridor zones (essentially extensions of core reserves) within the next few decades.... Nonetheless, half of a region in wilderness is a reasonable guess of what it will take to **restore** viable populations of **large carnivores** and natural disturbance regimes, assuming that most of the other 50 percent is managed intelligently as buffer zones. Eventually, **a wilderness network** would dominate a region.... with **human habitations being the islands**. The native ecosystem and the collective needs of non-human species must **take precedence over the needs and desires of humans**." [6]

Protection of these vast reaches of land **requires the removal, and redistribution of the population**, as was recommended in A (b) and (c)(v) of the 1976 U.N. Habitat Conference document. The "National Policy on Human Settlements," developed by the President's Council on Sustainable Development, has come to be known as "sustainable development." The **islands of "human habitation,"** described in the Wildlands Project, are now called "**sustainable communities**," which are defined in the model legislation created by the American Planning Association.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development prepared a progress report for the U.N. Conference on Human Settlements in 1995, which describes in great detail the features of the "national policy on human settlements." Here is a sample:

"...Community Sustainability Infrastructures [designed for] efficiency and livability that encourages: in-fill over sprawl: **compactness, higher density low-rise residential**: transit-oriented (TODs) and pedestrian-oriented development (PODs): bicycle circulation networks; work-to-home proximity; mixed-use-development: co-housing, housing over shops, downtown residential; inter-modal transportation malls and facilities ...where trolleys, rapid transit, trains and biking, walking and hiking are encouraged by infrastructures." [7]

This report describes precisely what the model legislation produced by the American Planning Association is designed to accomplish.

Most states have now enacted some form of comprehensive planning legislation, which requires each county to develop a land use plan that conforms to the recommendations that originated in the international community, and were filtered through the President's Council on Sustainable Development, and written into law by state legislatures. Nearly every community in the nation is involved in some form of "**visioning**" process designed to **construct public policies** consistent with the recommendations set forth in Agenda 21. ...

Throughout the entire process, the **role and influence of the U.N. is minimized, or denied**. Especially at the local and state level, even the most active proponents of "sustainable development" are either unaware, or deliberately deny, that the process is related to the United Nations....

See also [Local Agenda 21- The U.N. Plan for Your Community](#)

[1]. Information here cited is from "Report of Habitat: United Nations Conference on Human Settlements," Vancouver, 31 May - 11 June, 1976, (A/Conf.70/15), personally photocopied from the archives of the U.N. Library at Geneva, Switzerland, December 6, 1996. (On file)

[2]. A more thorough analysis of the policy recommendations from this conference report was published in eco•logic, January/February, 1997 edition, page 8, and is available on the Internet at <http://sovereignty.net/p/land/unproprts.htm>.

[3]. The President's Council on Sustainable Development ceased operations in 1999. Much of their work is preserved on this website. See: <http://clinton4.nara.gov/PCSD/>

[4]. More detailed information about this publication is available here: <http://www.planning.org/growingsmart/>

[5]. Global Biodiversity Assessment, Section 13, Page 993.

[6]. Reed F. Noss, "The Wildlands Project," Wild Earth, Special Issue, 1992, pp.13- 15. (Wild Earth is published by the Cenozoic Society, P.O. Box 492, Canton, NY 13617).

[7]. "Community Sustainability; Agendas for Choice-making and Action," U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, September 22, 1995. See also: <http://eco.freedom.org/reports/sdagenda.html>

Please read the entire article is posted at <http://freedom.org/news/200608/03/vonmises.phtml>

Henry Lamb is the Executive Vice President of The Environmental Conservation Organization, Inc. Mr. Lamb assembled the first meeting in Chicago in 1988, from which the Environmental Conservation Organization grew. He is also chairman of Sovereignty International, Inc., and writes a weekly newspaper column for WorldNetDaily and other publications. Visit the eco-logic Powerhouse is at www.freedom.org.

Source: <http://www.crossroad.to/Quotes/globalism/agenda-21.htm>